LEE et al.

Appl. No. 10/575,869

Atty. Ref.: 3260-30 February 29, 2008

Amendment After Final Rejection

REMARKS

Reconsideration is requested.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 have been canceled, without prejudice. Upon entry of the

present Amendment, claims 3, 5 and 6 will be pending. The claims have been

amended, without prejudice, to advance prosecution. Entry of the present Amendment

is requested.

The applicants believe the Section 102 rejection of claims 3-6 over Yamabe (JP

09315986 A) is obviated by the above amendments. Withdrawal of the rejection is

requested in view of the above and the following distinguishing comments.

The applicants submit that the invention is drawn to a functional cosmetic having

different use in comparison with the cited art.

The applicants believe that, generally, in judging whether an invention is dawn to

a functional cosmetic, its use should judged in accordance with whether the claims state

the use as cosmetics. In the applicants view, functional cosmetics are known to be

cosmetics showing biochemical or physical efficacy/effects on skin. In the applicants

experience, cosmetics having the following objectives are believed to be required of

functional cosmetics:

product improving skin whitening,

2. product improving skin wrinkles,

3. product protecting skin from ultra violet lights or tanning skin beautifully

Based on the above, the applicants submit the following considerations regarding

the claimed invention and the cited art.

- 3 -

1289478

LEE et al.

Appl. No. 10/575,869

Atty. Ref.: 3260-30

February 29, 2008

Amendment After Final Rejection

The above-amended claim 1 defines a use of a *Mallotous japonicus* extract for improving skin wrinkles wherein the *Mallotous japonicus* extract as an active ingredient

comprises 1.1 to 10 wt% based on the total weight , which the applicants submit will be

appreciated as relating to a product improving skin wrinkles.

The applicants submit however that the cited art stated as anti-flammatory agent

comprising a solvent extract of Mallotous japonicus, shows that a solvent extract can be

used as an anti-flammatory agent providing anti-flammatory activity for skin which

controls edema formed on skin.

The applicants submit that the uses of the claimed invention and the cited art are

distinctly different.

The applicants submit that the claimed invention and the cited art involve uses

with different biochemical mechanism effects on skin.

In relation with the Examiner's suggestion that the phrase for improving skin

wrinkles in claim 3, for example, is a preamble which recites intended future use of the

claimed composition and no patentable weight is given to this phrase, the applicants

submit that the claimed invention is described as a use invention wherein natural extract

is used for specific use and it is applicable for functional cosmetics in view of standard

that in judging whether an invention is drawn to a functional cosmetic, its use should be

considered and judged in accordance with whether the claims state a use as cosmetics.

The applicants believe the functional cosmetics mean cosmetics showing biochemical

or physical efficacy/effects on skin.

The applicants submit that reviewing the biochemical efficacy/effects when

applied to skin, the claimed invention obtained an excellent improvements on skin

- 4 -

1289478

LEE et al.

Appl. No. 10/575,869 Attv. Ref.: 3260-30

February 29, 2008

Amendment After Final Rejection

wrinkles, and the applicants request that the Examiner see Example 3 in this regard.

through reactions for inhibiting an activity of elastase (the applicants request the

Examiner to see Example 1 in this regard) and for significant enhancing effect on

collagen biosynthesis (the applicants request the Examiner to see Example 2 in this

regard).

The applicants believe that examples of the cited reference confirm that it

accomplished anti-inflammatory effect inhibiting skin edema through experiments with

male-rats of Wistar origin.

The applicants believe that the evidence of record establishes that the

biochemical mechanism or physical efficacy/effects of the claimed invention and the

cited art are distinct and that claims are patentable over the cited art.

Entry of the present amendment and withdrawal of the Section 102 rejection are

requested.

The claims are submitted to be condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect

is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: /B. J. Sadoff/

Reg. No. 36,663

BJS:pp

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor

Arlington, VA 22203-1808

Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100